

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE  
NORTHERN DIVISION**

**SOUTHLAND COMMERCIAL GROUP  
INCORPORATED, SOUTHLAND  
REALTORS INC., SOUTHLAND GROUP  
INC., SOUTHLAND TITLE LLC,  
SOUTHLAND COMPANIES LLC,  
SOUTHLAND PROPERTIES LLC,  
SOUTHLAND LANDSCAPES LLC,  
SOUTHLAND COMMERCIAL GROUP IV  
LLC, SOUTHLAND COMMERCIAL GROUP  
VII LLC, and SOUTHLAND COMMERCIAL  
GROUP ELEVEN LLC,**

**Plaintiffs,**

**v.**

**SOUTHLAND TITLE & ESCROW  
COMPANY INC.,**

**Defendant.**

**Case No. \_\_\_\_\_**

**Jury Trial Demanded**

---

**NOTICE OF REMOVAL**

---

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1338, 1367, 1441, and 1446, the Defendant, Southland Title & Escrow Company Inc., hereby removes the above-styled action, heretofore pending in the Tennessee Chancery Court for the Sixth Judicial District at Knoxville under docket number 191979-02, to this Court. As grounds therefore, the Defendant respectfully states as follows:

1. The Plaintiffs commenced this action in the Tennessee Chancery Court sitting in Knox County on July 15, 2016. This District and Division, of course, encompass Knox County. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 123(a)(1). The Complaint filed at that time asserted several claims in the nature of trademark infringement and unfair competition solely under Tennessee law.

2. On October 31, 2016, the Plaintiffs filed a Motion to Amend Complaint to assert a claim under the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a). The Chancery Court granted the Motion to Amend on January 17, 2017, in an order deeming the Amended Complaint filed and served on that date. (Ex. 10 hereto.)

3. Claims under the Lanham Act, of course, arise under federal law, and are within the original jurisdiction conferred upon the Court by 28 U.S.C. § 1331. *E.g.*, **Romero v. Buhimschi**, 396 F. App'x 223, 230 (6th Cir. 2010). Even if they were not covered by Section 1331, however, Title 28 separately confers upon the Court original jurisdiction over any action “arising under any Act of Congress relating to ... trademarks.” 28 U.S.C. § 1338. As such, the Amended Complaint states a federal, indeed, a trademark, question on its face, placing it in its entirety—for all of its claims relate to the same events underlying the Lanham Act claim—within the Court’s original jurisdiction. *See, e.g.*, **Christianson v. Colt Indus. Operating Corp.**, 486 U.S. 800, 807–09 (1988); *see also* 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).

4. Accordingly, when the Plaintiffs interposed their Amended Complaint, the case became removable. *See B&B Enters. of Wilson Cty. LLC v. City of Lebanon*, 422 F. Supp. 2d 903, 905 (M.D. Tenn. 2006). That the Amended Complaint may lack merit does not deprive the Court of jurisdiction over it. *See, e.g.*, **Bernstein v. Lind-Waldock & Co.**, 738 F.2d 179, 185–86 (7th Cir. 1984).

5. Because the sole Defendant has filed the present notice within thirty days of the date on which the case became removable, and because the case lies within the Court’s removal jurisdiction, *see* 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1338, 1441(a), removal is proper.

Respectfully submitted,

s/ William D. Leader  
William D. Leader (Tenn. No. 9531)  
Eugene N. Bulso (Tenn. No. 12005)  
LEADER, BULSO & NOLAN, PLC  
414 Union Street, Suite 1740  
Nashville, Tennessee 37219  
615-780-4110  
615-780-4118  
bleader@leaderbulso.com  
gbulso@leaderbulso.com  
*Attorneys for Defendant*

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that the foregoing document was submitted via the Court's ECF system, which is expected to deliver a copy via electronic means to the following:

W. Edward Shipe  
Nicholas W. Diegel  
WAGNER, MYERS & SANGER, P.C.  
800 S. Gay Street, Ste. 1801  
Knoxville, Tennessee 37902  
eshipe@vvrnspc.com  
ndiegel@wmnspc.com

on, the 18th day of January, 2017.

s/ William D. Leader  
William D. Leader